

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO),	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,325		03/19/2004	Philip J. Quenzi	SOM01 P-322	7321	
28101	7590	01/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	-	DNER, LINN A	HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S		
2851 CHA P.O. BOX		C DRIVE, S.E.		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ИI 49588-8695		3671		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_ν				
$\overline{\lambda}$		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
\mathcal{A}		10/804,325 ·	QUENZI ET AL.	•				
	S Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Gary Hartmann	3671					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	tress –				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 21 December 22 December 23 December 24 December 24 December 24 December 25 December 26 December 26 December 26 December 27 Decem	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed eccember 2004.	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-55</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 11-17 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	ion No	Stage				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.					
·		or the estation depice that receive						
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 8/13/4.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		-152)				
C Potent and I	1.00							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on December 16, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Invention II would not require additional search and that the additional inventions could not be used as tamping machines. The arguments with respect to Invention I are not found persuasive because the apparatus could be used in a patentably distinct method and dependent claims in Invention II would require significant additional search. Regarding the argument that the additional inventions could not be used as tamping machines, the manner in which the additional inventions are claimed is similar to the wording for which a tamping machine would be claimed. This is simply used as an example, however. More importantly, the additional inventions include features which would require additional search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tapio et al.

(U.S. Patent 6,129,481).

Application/Control Number: 10/804,325

example, meets this recitation.

Art Unit: 3671

Tapio et al. discloses a control system for a screeding machine including a grade setting device, vibrating member and support (Figure 5, for example). There is a control (258) operable to automatically lower the vibrating member into engagement after the grade setting device is lowered to the desired grade level (see column 12, lines 11-53). This is inherently done in response to an activating event.

Regarding claims 2-4, the adjustment is done via pivotal movement.

Regarding claim 5, the movement is generally vertical.

Regarding claim 6, activation could be done by a user, thereby meeting claim recitations.

Regarding claims 7-9, a period of time after an activating event inherently takes place.

No further patentable weight has been given to this recitation since even a nanosecond, for

Regarding claim 10, since the apparatus is being used on uncured concrete, detection thereof, even if by a human user, is inherent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh